Livermore Community Solar Farm Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

This Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (MMRP) has been prepared for the Livermore Community Solar Farm project. The purpose of the MMRP is to ensure that the mitigation measures identified in the EIR for the proposed project are implemented. The MMRP includes the following information:

- The full text of the mitigation measures;
- The party responsible for implementing the mitigation measures;
- The timing for implementation of the mitigation measure;
- The agency responsible for monitoring the implementation; and
- The monitoring action and frequency.

Alameda County must adopt this MMRP, or an equally effective program, if it approves the proposed project with the mitigation measures that were adopted or made conditions of project approval.

TABLE 1 MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM

| Mitigation Measures | Party Responsible for Implementation | Implementation Timing | Agency Responsible for Monitoring | Monitoring Action | Monitoring Frequency |
|---|--|---|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| AESTHETICS | | | | | |
| Mitigation Measure AES-3: In order to ensure the long-term effectiveness of the proposed landscaped berm, the Project applicant shall ensure that the proposed landscape berm is adequately irrigated to establish the long-term viability of the buffer and maintained throughout the life of the Project. Should any of the proposed landscape plantings not survive the initial planting or expire at any time during the life of the Project, the applicant shall provide replacement plantings, ranging from 8 to 15 feet in height upon maturity, to screen the proposed solar arrays within 5-years of planting. | Project applicant | During project operation | County Planning Dept. | Conduct periodic site Inspections | As needed, during project operation |
| AIR QUALITY | | | | | |
| Mitigation Measure AQ-2: The applicant shall require the construction contractor to comply with the following BAAQMD Best Management Practices for reducing construction emissions of PM10 and PM_{2.5} during ground-disturbing construction activities: Water all active construction areas at least twice daily or as often as needed to control dust emissions. Watering should be sufficient to prevent airborne dust from leaving the site. Increased watering frequency may be necessary whenever wind speeds exceed 15 miles per hour. Apply water twice daily or as often as necessary to control dust or apply (nontoxic) soil stabilizers on all unpaved access roads, parking areas, and staging areas at construction sites. Cover all trucks hauling soil, sand, and other loose materials or require all trucks to maintain at least 2 feet of freeheard (i.e., the minimum required space) | Project applicant/ construction contractor | Prior to issuance of building permits authorizing grading or other construction activities and during construction | County Building Dept. | Review construction plans and specifications/ conduct site inspections | During sscheduled cconstruction site inspections |
| between the top of the load and the top of the trailer). Sweep driveway entrances and public street segments in the vicinity of the subject property (with water sweepers or similarly effective equipment) daily, or as often as needed to keep streets free of visible soil material. | | | | | |
| Enclose, cover, water twice daily, or apply non-toxic soil binders to exposed stockpiles (e.g., dirt, sand). | | | | | |
| Limit vehicle traffic speeds on unpaved roads to 15 mph. | | | | | |
| Replant vegetation in disturbed areas as quickly as possible after construction in area has been completed. | | | | | |
| Install sandbags or other erosion control measures to prevent silt runoff from public roadways. | | | | | |

| T/ | ABLE 1 | MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM | | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| м | litigation Measure | es | Party Responsible for Implementation | Implementation Timing | Agency Responsible for Monitoring | Monitoring Action | Monitoring Frequency |
| BI | IOLOGICAL RESOU | JRCES | | | | | |
| M av fro gr cc di th m | litigation Measure voidance of indivi- ogs (CRLF) as indi round squirrel bur ould occur on the sturbance, the Pr ne development o inimum: | e BIO-1: The following measures shall be implemented to ensure dual California tiger salamanders (CTS) and California red-legged viduals of these species could disperse onto the site and occur in rrows in advance of or during construction. Because CTS/CRLF subject property and could be impacted during initial ground oject will require consultation with the USFWS and CDFW and f a CTS/CRLF relocation plan. The plan shall include at a | Project applicant/qualified biologist | Prior to issuance of building permits authorizing grading or other construction activities and during construction, | County Planning,USFWS and CDFW | Review field survey notes. Verify protocols prior to additional avoidance actions. Review relocation plan prior to | Once to verify placement of exclusion fencing; ongoing if CTS/CRLF are found on site. |
| • | A detailed exclu onset of fall/wir rainy season (Oo designed to excl within the subje breeding ponds | sion-fencing plan to enclose the subject property before the iter rains and to remain in place throughout one entire winter ctober through April) with the purpose of 1) the fence will be lude CTS/CRLF from entering the site and 2) capturing CTS/CRLF ict property that are emerging from burrows and moving towards and/or creeks. | | activities. | | Implementation. | |
| • | The exclusion fe material. Exclus 30 inches above fence will be pla buffer area of u fence. Stakes m which work will | Ince should be constructed of silt fence or other suitable barrier ion fence material must be at least 36 inches in height (at least ground and buried at least 6 inches below the ground). The iced inside the subject property boundary to provide an outside indisturbed habitat to relocate any CTS/CRLF captured inside the ust be placed on the inside of the project boundary (side on take place). | | | | | |
| • | Cover boards sh exclusion fence safely relocating the exclusion fe fence to dispers return to the su | all be installed every 30 feet on the inside and outside of the for the purpose of capturing adult and juvenile CTS/CRLF and g them under cover boards or suitable rodent burrows outside of nce. This will allow CTS/CRLF relocated outside of the exclusion e to aquatic breeding areas or other off-site habitat, but not bject property. | | | | | |
| 1 | Identification of to handle and re | qualified biologists (approved by the USFWS and/or the CDFW) elocate CTS/CRLF. | | | | | |
| 1 | Captured CTS/C the USFWS and, | RLF will be relocated outside the exclusion fence (approved by /or CDFW) outside the subject property exclusion fence. | | | | | |
| 1 | Implementation | of measures to reduce the risk of spreading harmful pathogens. | | | | | |
| 1 | Development of including, but no | reporting measures for all captured and relocated CTS/CRLF, ot limited to, capture site (i.e., cover board location), sex, age | | | | | |

(i.e., adult, juvenile), size, and release site.

in Table 3-10 (up to 3.5:1; preserved:impacted). Impacts to burrowing owls and/or

| T/ | ABLE 1 MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| M = | itigation Measures Submittal of a final report to the USFWS and CDFW detailing all captures and relocations of CTS/CRLF. | Party Responsible for Implementation | Implementation Timing | Agency Responsible for Monitoring | Monitoring Action | Monitoring Frequency |
| Tł U ar Fi | e listed amphibian relocation plan will be developed in consultation with the FWS and CDFW and be subject to their approval. The plan will require obtaining i incidental take permit under the California Endangered Species Act (pursuant to sh and Game Code Section 2081 et seq.) and the federal Endangered Species Act. | | | | | |
| In ∎ | addition, the following measures will be implemented during construction: A qualified biologist (approved by the USFWS and/or CDFW) will be on-site during initial ground disturbance. | | | | | |
| • | All workers shall receive environmental awareness training from the qualified biologist to inform workers of the potential occurrence of listed species, the need to avoid any inadvertent take, and procedures to follow if a CTS or other listed species is encountered. | | | | | |
| • | The qualified biologist will have authority to stop work until the qualified biologist can capture and relocate the animal to a safe place off the subject property. | | | | | |
| • | To avoid entrapment of animals during construction, pipes or similar structures shall be capped if stored overnight. Construction personnel shall inspect open trenches at the beginning and end of each workday for trapped amphibian individuals. If individuals are found, the individuals shall be relocated by a qualified biologist. | | | | | |
| | Tightly woven fiber netting or similar material shall be used for erosion control or other purposes to ensure amphibians are not trapped. Plastic monofilament netting (erosion control matting), rolled erosion control products, or similar material shall not be used. | | | | | |
| M su oc ne 0. to E/ (s | itigation Measure BIO-1.2: Even though burrowing owls were not observed on the bject property and there was no evidence (owl pellets, whitewash) of their currence, the numerous on-site ground squirrel burrows provide potential esting and wintering habitat. Burrowing owls are present within 3 miles (closest 88 miles) of the subject property and could disperse to the subject property prior initial ground disturbance for the Project. Conservation Action BUOW-3 in the ACCS recommends mitigation for the loss of burrowing owl nesting habitat uitable habitat within 0.5 miles of documented nest occurrence during previous 3 ars), by protecting habitat in accordance with the mitigation guidelines outlined | Project applicant/qualified biologist | Four survey visits between February 1 and August 31. Three survey visits, at least three weeks apart between April 15 and July 15. One survey visit, at any | County Planning Dept. | Review field survey notes. Verify protocols prior to additional avoidance actions. | Subsequent to field surveys. |

time of year, up to 14 days prior to

TABLE 1 MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM

| | | Party Responsible | Implementation | Agency Responsible | Monitoring | Monitoring |
|---------|--|--------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|------------|------------|
| M th | l itigation Measures eir habitat are considered significant. However, the impact would be <i>less than</i> | for Implementation | Timing any ground | for Monitoring | Action | Frequency |
| si | gnificant with implementation of Mitigation Measure BIO-1.2. | | disturbing activity. | | | |
| • | In accordance with the Staff Report on burrowing owl mitigation, ¹ a minimum of four survey visits shall be conducted within the subject property during the burrowing owl breeding season, typically between February 1 and August 31. A minimum of three survey visits, at least three weeks apart, will be conducted during the peak nesting period, between April 15 and July 15, with at least one visit after June 15. If burrowing owls are not found on the subject property during the surveys and there are no documented nest site occurrences within 0.5 miles of the subject property during the previous three years, no compensation for habitat loss will be required. | | | | | |
| - | If burrowing owls are found on the site during the surveys, mitigation will be required in accordance with EACCS guidelines. If the surveys identify breeding or wintering burrowing owls on or adjacent to the site, occupied burrows will not be disturbed and will be provided with protective buffers. Buffers shall be a minimum of 150-foot radius around an occupied wintering burrow and a minimum 250-foot radius around a breeding burrow. On-site occupied habitat will be mitigated at a minimum 3:1 ratio (preserved:impacted) consistent with the EACCS. Such mitigation may be conducted by acquiring parcels, through fee title purchase, or conservation easement, where known nesting sites occur or where nesting sites have occurred in the previous three nesting seasons according to EACCS Conservations Actions BUOW-1 and BUOW-2. ² Offsite preserved mitigation land under this MM BIO-1.2 may be "stacked" with other mitigation obligations identified in this chapter. | | | | | |
| • | Take avoidance surveys as described in the Staff Report ³ will be conducted no more than 14 days prior to any ground-disturbing activities (regardless of time of year). A qualified biologist will conduct the survey for burrowing owls. If no owls | | | | | |

year). A qualified biologist will conduct the survey for burrowing owls. If no owls are found during this first survey, a final survey will be conducted within 24 hours prior to ground disturbance to confirm that burrowing owls are still absent. If ground-disturbing activities are delayed or suspended for more than 14 days after the initial take avoidance survey, the site will be resurveyed (including the final survey within 24 hours of disturbance). All surveys will be conducted in accordance with Staff Report guidelines.

¹ California Department of Fish and Game, 2012. Staff Report on Burrowing Owl Mitigation, March 7.

² EACCS Section 3.5.3.11 Burrowing Owl.

³ California Department of Fish and Game, 2012. Staff Report on Burrowing Owl Mitigation, March 7.

TABLE 1 MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM

Mitigation Measures

Mitigation Measure BIO-1.3: A gualified botanist shall conduct up to three appropriately timed rare plant surveys during late April and early May to confirm the status of special-status plant species not detectable on the parcel during the October 2017 survey. Exact timing of the surveys will depend on environmental conditions in the year of the survey. The surveys shall focus on the special-status plant species for which suitable habitat occurs on the subject property. The surveys shall be completed, and a report of findings submitted to the County before the onset of initial ground-disturbing activity or construction associated with Project implementation. If special-status plant species are found on the subject property, the plant populations will be avoided by establishing a buffer around the plant populations that will be maintained throughout Project implementation. The buffer shall be determined on a case by case basis and shall be adequate to prevent direct and indirect effects from construction and operation (e.g., dust, changes in hydrology, shading, weed abatement and wildfire fuel modification) on the avoided plant populations and will be determined by a qualified botanist. Project implementation means from the start of ground disturbance until the facility becomes operational. Once operational, avoided plant populations preserved onsite will have permanent avoidance areas established around the preserved plants. A qualified botanist will determine the preserved area with approval from CDFW. The preserved area shall at minimum preserve the plant population and a sufficient portion of its watershed to ensure long term viability of the plants. A Long-term Management Plan shall also define long-term vegetation management activities and performance criteria such as livestock grazing standards (season of use, livestock type, seasonal and residual cover requirements, etc.) required to promote the continued presence of the identified rare plants on the property. The Long-term Management Plan shall be approved by CDFW and Alameda County, and implemented by the operator.

If special-status plants are found during the rare plant surveys and avoidance is not feasible, a qualified botanist/biologist or certified range manager will prepare a detailed rare plant mitigation and monitoring plan. The plan will recognize grazing as a management tool and will use grazing regimes to sustain rare plant populations and control of vegetation. The plan shall only be required if a listed species or those with a ranking of 1A, 1B, or 2 of the California Native Plant Society (CNPS) Inventory or locally rare species as listed in the CNPS East Bay database are found during the rare plant surveys. The site will be monitored for 5 years to ensure the continued presence of the special-status plant populations. Rare plant populations will be mapped. Plant populations will be monitored and the population size and number will be recorded. Plant populations shall either be stable or increasing during the

Party Responsible for Implementation

Project applicant, qualified biologist

Timing Between late April and early May, Dept. prior to ground disturbing activities. If plants found. then ongoing through construction period. Five year operational monitoring program.

Implementation

Agency Responsible Monitoring for Monitoring

County Planning

Action

Monitoring Frequency

Review field survey notes and review of mitigation program for construction period and operation, if required.

Monitoring

Action

MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM

Agency Responsible

for Monitoring

TABLE 1 MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM

| A distance in a | |
|-----------------|----------|
| wiitigation | weasures |

monitoring period as compared to pre-project condition. A monitoring report will be prepared and submitted by the end of the year to the County. The plan will include details on seed collection and propagation, techniques to avoid the introduction of plant pathogens to the preserved area, preparing the preserved area for planting, revegetation monitoring plan, success criteria, and reporting requirements. The planting area within the preserved area will be similar in size to the area occupied by the impacted plant on the subject property. After replanting, the preserved area will be monitored for a minimum of five years. Based on standard practices, minimum success criteria would be presence and continued reproductive success of the plant within the preserved area and with less than 80 percent areal coverage of the impacted rare plant at the end of the five-year monitoring period. Annual reports, with interim success criteria to ensure the plan is on track to meet the mitigation goals, will be prepared. At the end of each monitoring year, a report shall be prepared evaluating the success of the mitigation program and recommending remedial measures as necessary. If the success criteria have not been met at the conclusion of the five-year monitoring period, continued monitoring will be conducted until the success criteria have been achieved.

1. If the success criteria have not been met at the conclusion of the five-year monitoring period, monitoring may be extended for an additional period or another population of the affected special-status plant species may be preserved. The preserved population shall provide for permanent protection of an existing population in Alameda County, which is equal or larger than that impacted on the parcel (minimum 1:1 replacement). Preservation may occur through land acquisition or use of a conservation easement. Off-site mitigation lands shall include establishment of a management endowment as necessary to provide for long-term management of the preserved population. Offsite preserved mitigation land under MM BIO-1.3 may be "stacked" with other mitigation obligations identified in this chapter.

Mitigation M shall be perfo Code to avoid ground/veget 1 to August 3 ground/vege season (Septe conducted. T

Monitoring Frequency

| leasure BIO-1.4: Ground-disturbing and/or vegetation-clearing activities | Project applicant, | Scheduling ground | County Planning | Review survey | Subsequent to field |
|---|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------------|
| ormed in compliance with the MBTA and relevant sections of the CDFG | qualified biologist | disturbing activities | Dept. | reports. | surveys. |
| d loss of active nests. This shall be accomplished by scheduling | | between | | | |
| tation-disturbing activities outside of the bird nesting season (February | | September 1 and | | | |
| 31) to avoid possible impacts on nesting birds. Alternatively, if | | January 31, or | | | |
| tation-disturbing activities cannot be scheduled during the non-nesting | | preconstruction | | | |
| ember 1 to January 31), a preconstruction nesting bird survey shall be | | surveys seven | | | |
| he preconstruction nesting survey shall include the following: | | calendar days prior | | | |
| | | to ground | | | |

Party Responsible

for Implementation

Implementation

Timing

TABLE 1 MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM

| Mitigation Me | easures | Party Responsible for Implementation | Implementation Timing | Agency Responsible for Monitoring | Monitoring Action | Monitoring Frequency |
|--|--|---|--|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| A qualified and raptor activities. | biologist shall conduct a preconstruction nesting bird (both passerine) survey within seven calendar days prior to ground-disturbing | - | disturbing activities. | | | |
| If no nestir Ground-dis | ng birds or active nests are observed, no further action is required. sturbing activities shall occur within seven calendar days of the survey. | | | | | |
| If any activ appropriat location(s) inactive). E location (i. raptors) ar the nest. If consultatio | ve nests are encountered, the qualified biologist shall determine an the disturbance-free buffer zone to be established around the nest o until the young have fledged (or the nest is determined to be Buffer zones vary depending on the species and the context of the nest e., typically 25 to 100 feet for passerines and up to 300 feet for and other factors such as ambient disturbance levels in the vicinity of f necessary, the dimensions of the buffer zone shall be determined in on with the CDFW. | | | | | |
| Orange co installed to construction of existing within this | nstruction fencing, flagging, or other marking methods shall be o delineate the buffer zone around the nest location(s) within which no on-related equipment or operations shall be permitted. Continued use facilities such as surface parking and site maintenance may continue buffer zone. | | | | | |
| Constructibility biologist hand the builty | on activities shall be restricted from the buffer zone until the qualified as determined that young birds have fledged (or the nest is inactive) Iffer zone is no longer needed. | | | | | |
| A survey repo inactive) shall County prior Following wri may proceed. | ort of findings verifying that any young have fledged (or the nest is I be submitted by the qualified biologist for review and approval by the to initiation of any construction activities within the buffer zone. tten approval by the County construction within the nest-buffer zone. | | | | | |
| Mitigation Me perimeter sw between the ground-distur installed arou the potential equipment in occur in the b be removed. | easure BIO-2: The Project applicant shall realign the proposed ale to avoid the potential wetlands and provide a 25-foot buffer potential wetland and the proposed swale. Prior to the initiation of rbing activities, temporary orange construction fencing shall be and the potential wetland features to prohibit inadvertent damage to wetland features during construction activities. No construction cluding staging and/or parking or other construction activity shall puffer zone. After construction is complete the temporary fencing can | Project applicant, civil engineer | Prior to ground disturbing activities | County Planning Dept. | Field inspection to conform buffer and fencing | Once, prior to construction activities |

TABLE 1 MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM

| Mitigation Measures | Party Responsible for Implementation | Implementation Timing | Agency Responsible for Monitoring | Monitoring Action | Monitoring Frequency |
|---|---|---|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| CULTURAL RESOURCES | | | | | |
| Mitigation Measure CULT-2: If any prehistoric or historic subsurface cultural resources are discovered during ground-disturbing activities, all work within 50 feet of the resources shall be halted and a qualified archaeologist shall be consulted to assess the significance of the find according to CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5. If any find is determined to be significant, representatives from the County and the archaeologist shall meet to determine the appropriate avoidance measures or other appropriate mitigation. All significant cultural materials recovered shall be, as necessary and at the discretion of the consulting archaeologist, subject to scientific analysis, professional museum curation, and documentation according to current professional standards. In considering any suggested mitigation proposed by the consulting archaeologist to mitigate impacts to historical resources or unique archaeological resources, the County shall determine whether avoidance is necessary and feasible in light of factors such as the nature of the find, proposed Project design, costs, and other considerations. If avoidance is infeasible, other appropriate measures (e.g., data recovery) would be instituted. Work may proceed on other parts of the subject property outside the 50-foot area while mitigation for historical resources or unique archaeological resources is being carried out. | Project Applicant/ Construction Contractor, consulting archaeologist. | During construction | County Planning Dept. | Plan Review and Approval | As needed if resources are unearthed |
| Mitigation Measure CULT-3: Procedures of conduct following the discovery of human remains have been mandated by Health and Safety Code Section 7050.5, Public Resources Code Section 5097.98 and the California Code of Regulations Section 15064.5(e) (CEQA). According to the provisions in CEQA, if human remains are encountered at the site, all work in the immediate vicinity of the discovery shall cease and necessary steps to ensure the integrity of the immediate area shall be taken. The Alameda County Coroner shall be notified immediately. The Coroner shall then determine whether the remains are Native American. If the Coroner determines the remains are Native American, the Coroner shall notify the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) within 24 hours, who will, in turn, notify the person the NAHC identifies as the Most Likely Descendant (MLD) of any human remains. Further actions shall be determined, in part, by the desires of the MLD. The MLD has 48 hours to make recommendations regarding the disposition of the remains following notification from the NAHC of the discovery. If the MLD does not make recommendations within 48 hours, the owner shall, with appropriate dignity, reinter the remains in an area of the property secure from further disturbance. Alternatively, if the owner does not accept the MLD's recommendations, the owner or the descendent may request mediation by the NAHC | Project Applicant/ Construction Contra archaeologist. | During มะเซงทุงซิช _ี ฟรนิฟัติก _ั ย | Quurinty Construction | Verification of C remains and appropriate reinterment on site. | Couû tyce)áhreingaid ept. are unearthed |

This page intentionally left blank.